

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/078,649	02/19/2002	Yun Hwang Choe	213.1077-CTML-U	5287	
22856	7590 05/04/2006	EXAMINER			
MUSERLIAN, LUCAS AND MERCANTI, LLP 475 PARK AVENUE SOUTH			CHANNAVAJJALA, LAKSHMI SARADA		
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1615		

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/078,649	CHOE ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1615

Bototo and thining of an tippout Bito.	Examiner	Artonit	
	Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followances the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropr pinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Nation of Appeal was filed on	olionoo with 27 CED 41 27 must be	filed within two month	ne of the date of
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 	•		ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		,	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 5-24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a New Market of the American and Sufficient reasons why the affidate	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	Jaroda
	L	No(s) akshmi Cha Examirer Au 1615	innavajjala
		AU 1612	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the present amendment changes the scope of the instant claims by deleting the variables D1 and D2 to be OH and accordingly requires further consideration and possibly new search.